Magneto-optical enhancement in sputtered epitaxial films of praseodymium-substituted yttrium iron garnet

Manabu Gomi, Hiroshi Toyoshima

Journal or publication title: *Journal of Applied Physics*
Volume: 82
Number: 3
Page range: 1359-1362
Year: 1997

URL: http://link.aip.org/link/?jap/82/1359

Copyright (1997) American Institute of Physics. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics.
Magneto-optical enhancement in sputtered epitaxial films of praseodymium-substituted yttrium iron garnet
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Faraday rotation and ellipticity were measured at room temperature in the photon energy range of 1.5–4.2 eV on \( Y_{3-x}Pr_xFe_5O_{12} \) \( (x=0–2.0) \) epitaxial films grown by rf sputtering. The \( Pr^{3+} \) substitution induced negative rotation and ellipticity which satisfy a paramagnetic dispersion relation caused by an electronic transition centered at 3.0 eV. The maximum value of the \( Pr^{3+} \) contribution was \(-2.5 \times 10^4\) deg/cm in rotation and \(-4.1 \times 10^4\) deg/cm in ellipticity for \( x=2.0 \).

Optical absorption measurements showed that this is a new transition induced by the coexistence of \( Pr^{3+} \) and \( Fe^{3+} \), and it is neither a free–free transition nor a free–bound transition originating from \( Pr \) ions as previously supposed. © 1997 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-8979(97)01315-7]

I. INTRODUCTION

Praseodymium substitution to iron garnet is well known to induce large negative Faraday rotation in the visible. The origin has been investigated by many researchers so far, since the knowledge about the enhancement mechanism brings us a useful criterion for finding new materials exhibiting large magneto-optical (MO) effect.1–9 Visnovsky et al. reported from Faraday rotation measurements for liquid-phase epitaxial films of \( Pr_{x}Y_{3-x}Fe_{5-x}Ga_{x}O_{12} \) that the induced Faraday rotation is attributed to a diamagnetic electronic transition associated with \( 4f-5d \) transition of \( Pr^{3+} \) around photon energies of \( h\nu=3.1–3.3 \) eV, rather than any enhancement of \( Fe^{3+} \) transitions as is known to be the case for \( Bi^{3+} \).2

To clarify the \( Pr \) contribution, we have examined the Faraday rotation and ellipticity in the photon energy range of 1.5–4.2 eV for yttrium iron garnet (YIG) thin films containing different amounts of \( Pr \). In this article, the \( Pr \) contribution to MO properties and optical absorption of the films are described and the origin through comparison between them is discussed.

II. EXPERIMENT

The films were grown onto \((111)\)-oriented \( Gd_{2}Ga_{5}O_{12} \) (GGG) single crystal substrates by conventional rf diode sputtering using ceramic targets of \( Y_{3-x}Pr_xFe_5O_{12} \) \( (x=0, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0) \). Typical sputtering conditions were as follows: substrate temperature=400 °C, argon pressure=50 mTorr, incident rf power density=5.6 W/cm², and deposition rate=5 nm/min. Film thickness was adjusted to be less than 150 nm, which allows transmission optical measurement even in the ultraviolet. Epitaxial films of \( Y_{3}Al_{4.6}Fe_{0.1}O_{12} \) (Fe:YAG) and \( Y_{3}Pr_{0.9}Al_{4.9}Fe_{0.1}O_{12} \) (Fe:PrYAG) for optical absorption measurements were also grown by the same procedure except that the growth temperature was 550 °C.

Chemical composition of the prepared films was analyzed by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Faraday rotation and ellipticity angles were measured at room temperature by a polarization modulation method using a photoelastic modulator in the range of 1.5–4.2 eV (Ref. 10).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Film quality

The films showed only x-ray reflection from a \((111)\) plane as seen in Fig. 1. It was confirmed from x-ray pole figure analysis that the single crystalline films are in situ grown on GGG substrates, with the \((111)\) plane parallel to the surface. However, the film for \( x=2.0 \) was double layered and poor in crystalline quality, which resulted from too large lattice mismatch between the film and the substrate.11 The lattice constant \( a \) of the film calculated using the relation

\[
a = a_s \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 - \mu_{111})}{(1 + \mu_{111})} \right] (1 - \alpha_f) \]

is shown in Table I, where \( a_s \) is the substrate lattice constant, \( \alpha_f \) the measured perpendicular lattice constant of the film and \( \mu_{111} \) the Poisson constant for \((111)\) oriented film. The Poisson constant of YIG, 0.30 was used for the calculation.8 The measured lattice constants are larger by 0.8% than those of the liquid-phase epitaxial films, reflecting iron deficiency as described below.8

The chemical composition analysis showed that these films are iron deficient, except \( x=2.0 \), as shown in Table I. The ratios of \( Pr \) to \( Y \) are close to those of the targets.

B. Magneto-optical properties and optical absorption

Figure 2 shows Faraday rotation \((F)\) and ellipticity \((\chi)\) spectra of \( Pr \)-substituted YIG films at room temperature. The spectra of nonsubstituted film have complicated structures above 2.5 eV arising from electronic pair transitions between neighboring octahedral and tetrahedral \( Fe^{3+} \) ions and
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oxygen-to-iron charge transfer transitions. These have been assigned by Scott et al.\textsuperscript{12} When the Pr substitution is increased, the Faraday rotation spectrum changes sign around 3.1 eV. On the other hand, the ellipticity shows the remarkable increase in negative sign around 3 eV. It should be noted here that the fine structures seen in the spectrum for $x = 0$ remain even after the spectral change. This suggests some contribution of the Pr substitution overlapping on the spectrum of YIG film. Therefore, it was evaluated by subtracting the spectrum of nonsubstituted film from those of Pr-substituted films, assuming that Fe contribution itself is unchanged by the Pr substitution. The results are shown in Fig. 3. The subtracted spectra of Faraday rotation ($F_{Pr}$) and ellipticity ($\chi_{Pr}$) show approximately a paramagnetic dispersion relation centered at 3.0 eV, though some structures are also seen above 3.8 eV in both spectra. The maximum values increase with increased Pr concentration, reaching $F_{Pr} = -2.5 \times 10^4$ deg/cm at 2.8 eV and $\chi_{Pr} = -4.1 \times 10^4$ deg/cm at 3.0 eV for $x = 2$. The least-squares curves calculated using the following dispersion relations for a paramagnetic transition with transition energy $h\nu_0$ and half-linewidth $h\Gamma_0$ roughly fit the measured spectra as shown in Fig. 4.

$$ F \propto \epsilon_{xy}'' \times -\nu (\nu^2 - \nu_0^2 + \Gamma_0^2)/\nu_0(\nu^2 - \nu^2 + \Gamma_0^2)^2 + 4\Gamma_0^2 \nu^2), $$

$$ \chi \propto \epsilon_{xy}' \times \Gamma_0(\nu^2 - \nu_0^2 + \Gamma_0^2)/\nu_0(\nu^2 - \nu^2 + \Gamma_0^2)^2 + 4\Gamma_0^2 \nu^2), $$

where $\epsilon_{xy}''$ and $\epsilon_{xy}'$ denote imaginary and real parts of the off-diagonal dielectric tensor elements and $\nu$ is the frequency of the incident light. The deviation above 3.5 eV may be due to contributions of other transitions which lie in higher energy. From the best fit, $h\nu_0$ and $h\Gamma_0$ were determined as 3.05 and 0.34 eV, respectively. These results tell us that there should be a paramagnetic electronic transition around 3 eV.

### TABLE I. Lattice constant $a$ and chemical composition per formula unit in the films. Composition values were obtained, assuming that the sum of rare earth ions is 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>$a$ (nm)</th>
<th>Film composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y_3Fe_2O_12$</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>Y 3.00 Pr 0 Fe 4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_3Pr_1Fe_2O_{12}$</td>
<td>1.256</td>
<td>Y 1.96 Pr 1.04 Fe 4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_3Pr_2Fe_2O_{12}$</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>Y 1.40 Pr 1.60 Fe 4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_3Pr_2Fe_2O_{12}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Y 0.93 Pr 2.07 Fe 6.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to establish where electronic transitions associated with Pr ions are located, we examined the optical absorption spectrum for Fe: PrYAG film. The result is shown in Fig. 5. Prominent absorption peaks are observed at 4.2 and 5.2 eV. However, note that definitely no absorption appears at the energy position of 3.0 eV expected from the above result. The increase of absorption at higher energy including the broad shoulder around 3.6 eV is attributed to the doped Fe as understood from a comparison with the spectrum for Fe:YAG film presented together.13 Two peaks at 4.2 and 5.2 eV are identified with the 4f–5d transitions of Pr ion because they are not observed in the Fe:YAG film and are extremely strong in intensity, as well as large in half-linewidth. Taking account of so called “nephelauxetic” effect,14 the lowest 4f–5d transition energy in this film estimated from the reported value of 6.2 eV for PrF3 is about 4.3 eV, is consistent with the above value.15 Figure 6 shows absorption spectra of YIG films with different Pr concentrations. In addition to the spectrum of YIG film which exhibits shoulders around 2.8, 3.4, 3.8, and 4.4 eV, the increase of the absorption coefficient with the Pr substitution is clearly observed around 3.0 and 4.2 eV. The induced magnitude is on the order of $10^4$ cm$^{-1}$. The absorption at 4.2 eV corresponds to the 4f–5d transition of Pr ion assigned in Fig. 5. While, the absorption enhancement at 3.0 eV which is not seen in Fig. 5 but obviously seen in Fig. 6, is induced by the coexistence of Pr and Fe ions. This transition energy is in good agreement with that at which MO enhancement occurs.

This situation is similar to the MO enhancement observed in cerium-substituted iron garnet films; the coexistence of Ce and Fe ions induces a new electronic transition at a photon energy as low as 1.4 eV which shows strong absorption and paramagnetic MO dispersion having the $h\Gamma_0 = 0.26$ eV.16 In this case, it was reported that the new transition is most likely a charge-transfer type from Ce$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{3+}$ because Ce and Fe ions easily change their valence from $3^+$ to $4^+$ and from $3^+$ to $2^+$, respectively.16,17 The valence of Pr ion may change as well, though higher energy may be required for the valence change from $3^+$ to $4^+$ since Pr$^{3+}$ is rather stable, compared to Ce$^{3+}$. These lend considerable support to the view that Pr–Fe charge transfer transition occurs at higher energy than that of Ce–Fe, being responsible for the observed enhancement of MO effect.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have epitaxially grown the $Y_{1-x}Pr_xFe_{3}O_{12}$ films on (111) GGG substrates by rf sputtering. The measurements of Faraday rotation and ellipticity in a photon energy range extended to 4.2 eV showed that the Pr substitution induces negative Faraday rotation and ellipticity with a paramagnetic dispersion relation caused by an electronic transition centered at 3.0 eV. The maximum value of Pr contribution is $-2.5 \times 10^4$ deg/cm in rotation and $-4.1 \times 10^4$ deg/cm in ellipticity for $x = 2.0$. From the optical absorption measurement, the transition at 3.0 eV is suggested to be induced by

FIG. 4. Least-squares fit of paramagnetic dispersion curves to $F_{Pr}$ and $x_{Pr}$ spectra of $Y_{1.5}Pr_{1.5}Fe_{5}O_{12}$ film; bold solid lines—calculated. Best fitting parameters are 3.05 eV for transition energy and 0.34 eV for half-linewidth.

FIG. 5. Optical absorption spectrum of $Y_{2}Pr_{3}Al_{4}Fe_{6}O_{12}$ film at room temperature. The spectrum of $Y_{5}Al_{4}Fe_{5}O_{12}$ film is also shown for comparison.

FIG. 6. Optical absorption spectra of Pr-substituted YIG films at room temperature. Arrows show energy positions at which absorption increases with Pr substitution.
the coexistence of Pr$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ such as a Pr–Fe charge transfer transition, and not a 4f–4f transition and 4f–5d intra-atomic transition, originating from the Pr ions as previously assumed.

11 When the lattice mismatch between the film and the substrate is too large, a layer is formed with large internal stress from the substrate in the initial stage of film growth. However, after the layer was grown up to some critical thickness, the resultant lattice distortion is almost released by dislocations and defects. Further film growth is stress-free, resulting in a layer with a lattice constant inherent to the film. Thus, the double layered film shows two lattice constants, i.e., two x-ray reflection peaks.
13 The difference in magnitude between the spectra is caused by Fe concentration in the films different from the nominal value, not substantial in this discussion.
14 This effect represents that the energy position of the d band moves, sensitively depending on the strength of electron-electron repulsion between a rare earth ion and its surrounding anions. See the reference: L. G. Van Uitert, J. Lumin. 29, 1 (1984).