MONOTONICITY OF AN INITIAL BUSY PERIOD IN A PRIORITY QUEUING SYSTEM

Katsuhisa OHNO and *Tadayoshi SHIOYAMA

Department of Systems Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology (Received September 4, 1986)

This paper deals with a single-server priority queuing system consisting of several terminals with single buffers. The service rule is a policy on a priority basis, that is, the terminal with the highest input rate having the highest priority, ..., and the terminal with the lowest input rate having the lowest priority. It is shown that the initial busy period has a monotonicity property under the service rule.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with a priority queuing system. The system consists of M terminals with single buffers and the server attends to one of busy terminals. The service time of each job at all terminals is assumed to be a unit time. The system is observed at time $t=0, 1, 2, \cdots$. The *i*-th terminal $(i=1, 2, \cdots, M)$ generates one new job in each period with probability a, independently of the other terminals and independently from period to period. It can be assumed without loss of generality that $1 \ge a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_M >$ 0. A new job arriving at a terminal in service is not allowed to enter into its buffer. The service rule is a policy on a priority basis, the terminal with the highest input rate having the highest priority, ..., and the terminal with the lowest input rate having the lowest priority. The purpose of this paper is to show monotonicity of an initial busy period under the service rule. It should be noted that the monotonicity leads to the optimality of the policy on the priority basis mentioned above1).

In the real world the above system is found in data networks using packet switching techniques^{2,3,4)}, and is closely related to communication systems with polling^{5,6)}. Any optimal service rule, however, has never been discussed for a single-server queuing system consisting of terminals with finite buffer spaces. An optimal service rule in a multiclass queuing system with infinite buffer spaces has been studied by Harrison⁷⁾. Furthermore, Wan Tcha and Pliska⁸⁾ have dealt with this system with feedback.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the preliminaries are given. In Section 3, it is proved that the initial busy period has the monotonicity under the service rule.

2. Preliminaries

The system is observed at time $t=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ The state of the system is described by the vector $i(t)=(i_1$ $(t), \cdots, i_M(t))$, where $i_k(t), k=1, \cdots, M, t=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ represents the number of jobs at the k-th terminal and takes the value 0 or 1. The state space consisting of all possible states is denoted by S. Denote by A(i) the set $\{k \mid i_k=1, k=1, \cdots, M\}$ and define I(i) by $I(i)=\min\{k \mid k \in A(i)\}$. The system in state i next moves to state $j=(j_1, \cdots, j_M)$ with the transition probability P(i,j) given by

$$P(i, j) = \Pi \left\{ (1 - a_k) + j_k (2a_k - 1) \right\}$$

$$k \notin A(i)$$
for $j_{k0} = 0$, $j_m = 1$ $(m \neq l(i) \in A(i))$

$$= 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$
et P be the $2^M \times 2^M$ -dimensional matrix whose (i, j)

Let P be the $2^{M} \times 2^{M}$ -dimensional matrix whose (i, j) component is P(i, j).

Let t(i) be the initial busy period, i. e., the first epoch at which the system starting from the initial state i is cleared of jobs. Define F(i) as the expectation of $d^{\alpha(i)}$, where d is an arbitrary constant between 0 and 1. Here, we assume that F(0)=1. Let S' be the set $S-\{0\}$. It is clear by (1) that F(i) satisfies the following relation for any $i \in S'$:

$$F(i) = dP(i, 0) + d \sum P(i, j)F(j).$$

$$j \in S'$$
(2)

3. Monotonicity of Initial Busy Period

In order to prove the monotonicity of the initial busy peoriod, it suffices to show that of F(i). For this purpose, let a partial order i < j be defined for $i = (i_1, \dots, i_M)$ and $j = (j_1, \dots, j_M)$ as the relation that $i_k = 0$, $i_l = 1$, $j_k = 1$, $j_l = 0$ for k < l and $i_m = j_m$ for $m \neq k$, l. If $F(i) \leq (<)F(j)$ for all i and j such that i < j, then the column vector F is said to be (strictly) monotone.

Let $t_m(i)$ be the first epoch at which the system consisting of the first terminal to the m-th terminal $(2 \le m \le M-1)$ is cleared of jobs, given that the initial state is $i=(i_1,\ i_2,\ \cdots,\ i_M)$. Since $t_m(i)$ is not affected by i_k , $k=m+1,\cdots,M$, define $F_m(i_m)$ as the expectation of $d^{t_m(i)}$ given that the initial state is $i_m=(i_1,\cdots,i_m)$. Denote by S_m the state space consisting of all possible i_m , and by S_m' the set $S_m-\{0_m\}$, where 0_m stands for the state with $i_k=0,\ k=1,\cdots,m$.

First, we consider the case where m=2. From (2), $F_2(10)$, $F_2(01)$ and $F_2(11)$ satisfy the following relations:

$$F_2(10) = d(1-a_2) + da_2F_2(01)$$

$$F_2(01) = d(1-a_1) + da_1F_2(10)$$

$$F_2(11) = dF_2(01)$$
.

That is, the 3-dimensional column vector $F_2(S_2') = [F_2(10), F_2(01), F_2(11)]^T$ satisfies

$$F_2(S_2') = dB_2 + dT_2F_2(S_2'),$$
 (3)

where the 3-dimensional column vector B_2 and 3×3 -dimensional matrix T_2 are given for constants $c_{10} = 1$ $-a_1$ and $c_{11} = a_1$, by

$$\mathbf{B}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_{2} \\ c_{10} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{T}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{2} & 0 \\ c_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

Let B_m be the transition probability vector from state $i_m \in S'_m$ to state $j_m = 0_m$ and T_m be the transition probability matrix from state $i_m \in S'_m$ to state $j_m \in S'_m$. For $m = 2, \dots, M-1$, define for vector or matrix 0 whose components are all zero,

$$c_{m,0} = (1 - a_m) c_{m-1,0}, (5)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_{m,1} = [(1 - a_m)\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}, \ a_m c_{m-1,0}, \ a_m \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}], \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_{m} = [c_{m,0}, \mathbf{c}_{m,1}], \tag{7}$$

$$B_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - a_{m+1})B_m \\ c_{m,0} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8)

and

$$T_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - a_{m+1}) T_m & a_{m+1} B_m & a_{m+1} T_m \\ c_{m,1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_m & T_m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (9)$$

where $c_{1,0}$, $c_{1,1}$, B_2 and T_2 are given by (4). The following lemma holds:

Lemma 1. For m=2, \cdots , M-1, the $(2^{m+1}-1)$ -dimensional column vector B_{m+1} and $(2^{m+1}-1) \times (2^{m+1}-1)$ -dimensional matrix T_{m+1} satisfy (5) through (9) and the $(2^{m+1}-1)$ -dimensional column vector F_{m+1} $(S'_{m+1}) = [F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0)^{\mathrm{T}},F_{m+1}(O_{m},1), F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1)^{\mathrm{T}}]^{\mathrm{T}}$ satisfies

 $F_{m+1}(S'_{m+1}) = dB_{m+1} + dT_{m+1}F_{m+1}(S'_{m+1}).$ (10) Proof. It follows from (1) that the transition probability matrix is given by $[B_m, T_m]$. Using B_m and T_m , and noting that c_m is the transition probability vector from state $i_m = 0_m$ to state $j_m \in S_m$, we can obtain

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(S'_{m}, & O) = d(1 - a_{m+1})B_{m} + d(1 - a_{m+1})T_{m} \\ & \times F_{m+1}(S'_{m}, 0) + da_{m+1}B_{m}F_{m+1}(O_{m}, 1) \\ & + da_{m+1}T_{m}F_{m+1}(S'_{m}, 1), \end{split} \tag{1}$$

$$F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_m,1) = dc_{m,0} + d\mathbf{c}_{m,1}F_{m+1}(S'_m,0)$$
(12)

and

$$F_{m+1}(S'_m,1) = dB_m F_{m+1}(O_m,1) + dT_m F_{m+1}(S'_m,1)$$
. (13) Summarizing (11) through (13) leads to (5) through (10).

Since $d^n[T_{m+1}]^n$ converges to 0 as n tends to infinity, the inverse matrix $(I-dT_{m+1})^{-1}$ exists for identity matrix I. Therefore, (10) with m replaced by m-1 leads to

$$F_m(S'_m) = (I - dT_m)^{-1} dB_m.$$
 (14)

Define $\tilde{F}_m(S'_m)$ as $F_m(S'_m)$ with d replaced by $(1-a_{m+1})d$. From (12), the next lemma is obtained, because $0 \le (1-a_{m+1})d < 1$, B_m and T_m are independent of d, and $\tilde{F}_m(S'_m) = 0$ for $(1-a_{m+1})d = 0$.

Lemma 2. If $F_m(S'_m)$ is (strictly) monotone for any d(0 < d < 1), then $\tilde{F}_m(S'_m)$ is also (strictly) monotone for any d(0 < d < 1).

Lemma 3.

(a)
$$F_{m+1}(S'_m,1) = F_{m+1}(O_m,1)F_m(S'_m)$$
. (15)

(b)
$$F_{m+1}(S'_m,0) = [1 - F_{m+1}(O_m,1)] \tilde{F}_m(S'_m) + F_{m+1}(O_m,1) F_m(S'_m).$$
 (16)

Proof.

(a) From (13), $F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1)$ is given by

$$F_{m+1}(S'_m,1) = F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_m,1)(\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{T}_m)^{-1}d\mathbf{B}_m.$$

Consequently, (14) yields (15).

(b) It follows from (11) and (13) that

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0) = (1-a_{m+1}) dB_{m} + (1-a_{m+1}) dT_{m}$$

 $\times F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0) + a_{m+1}F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1).$

Thus.

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0) = [I - (1 - a_{m+1}) dT_{m}]^{-1} [(1 - a_{m+1}) dB_{m} + a_{m+1}F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1)].$$
 (17)

On the other hand, (14) and (15) lead to the following relation:

$$\begin{split} a_{m+1} &[I - (1 - a_{m+1}) \, dT_m]^{-1} F_{m+1}(S'_m, 1) \\ &= a_{m+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_{m+1})^n d^n [T_m]^n F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) F_m(S'_m) \\ &= a_{m+1} F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_{m+1})^n d^n [T_m]^n \\ &\times (I - dT_m)^{-1} dB_m \\ &= a_{m+1} F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_{m+1})^n d^n [T_m]^n \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d^{k+1} [T_m]^k B_m \\ &= a_{m+1} F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - a_{m+1})^n d^{k+1} \\ &\times [T_m]^k B_m \\ &= a_{m+1} F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - a_{m+1})^n d^{k+1} \\ &\times [T_m]^k B_m \\ &= F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1 - a_{m+1})^{k+1}] d^{k+1} [T_m]^k B_m \\ &= F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) (I - dT_m)^{-1} B_m \\ &= dF_{m+1}(0_m, 1) (I - dT_m)^{-1} B_m \\ &- (1 - a_{m+1}) dF_{m+1}(0_m, 1) [I - (1 - a_{m+1}) dT_m]^{-1} B_m \end{split}$$

Combining (17) with (18) yields

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0) = [1 - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)][I - (1 - \mathbf{a}_{m+1})dT_{m}]^{-1} \times (1 - \mathbf{a}_{m+1})dB_{m} + F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)(I - dT_{m})^{-1}dB_{m}.$$
(19)

Using (14), (19) is rewritten as (16). The proof is complet-

Noting that from the definition of $F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_m,1)$, 0 < $F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_m,1)<1$, we have the following lemma from Lemmas 2 and 3.

Lemma 4. If $F_m(S'_m)$ is (strictly) monotone for any d (0 < d < 1), then $F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0)$ and $F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1)$ are also (strictly) monotone for any d(0 < d < 1).

Lemma 5.

(a)
$$F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1,0) \ge F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},0,1)$$
.

(b)
$$F_{m+1}(i_{m-1},1,0) \ge F_{m+1}(i_{m-1},0,1)$$

for all $i_{m-1} \varepsilon S'_{m-1}$.

In particular, when $1 \ge a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_M > 0$, the strict inequalities in (a) and (b) hold.

Proof.

(a) From Lemma 3(b), it follows that $F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0)$ $= \tilde{F}_m(S'_m) + F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_m, 1) [F_m(S'_m) - \tilde{F}_m(S'_m)].$ (20) Combining (12) with (20), we have

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(O_m,1) &= dc_{m,0} + dc_{m,1} \big[\tilde{F}_m(S'_m) + F_{m+1}(O_m,1) \big[F_m(S'_m) \\ &- \tilde{F}_m(S'_m) \big] \big]. \end{split} \tag{21}$$
 Since $\tilde{F}_m(S'_m) = \big[\tilde{F}_m(S'_{m-1},0)^{\mathrm{T}}, \tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1), \\ \tilde{F}_m(S'_{m-1},1)^{\mathrm{T}} \big]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \text{it follows from (5) and (6) that} \\ c_{m,1} \tilde{F}_m(S'_m) &= (1-a_m)c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_m(S'_{m-1},0) \\ &+ a_m c_{m-1,0} \tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1) + a_m c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_m(S'_{m-1},1). \end{split}$ Hence, using (6) again, (21) is rewritten as

$$F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1) = d(1-a_{m})c_{m-1,0} + d(1-a_{m})c_{m-1,1}$$

$$\times \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0) + da_{m}c_{m-1,0}\tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1)$$

$$+ da_{m}c_{m-1,1}\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},1) + dF_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)c_{m,1}[F_{m}(S'_{m})$$

$$-\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m})]$$

$$= dc_{m-1,0} + dc_{m-1,1}\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0) - da_{m}c_{m-1,0}[1$$

$$-\tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1)] - da_{m}c_{m-1,1}[\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0)$$

$$-\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},1)] + dF_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)c_{m,1}[F_{m}(S'_{m})$$

$$-\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m})].$$

$$(22)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3 implies that

$$\begin{split} &F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1)\\ &=F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0)-\big[1-F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)\big]\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m}). \end{split} \tag{23}$$

Combining (22) and (12) with (23) gives us

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(O_{m},1) &= dc_{m-1,0} + dc_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0) \\ &- da_{m} c_{m-1,0} [1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1)] - da_{m} [1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1)] \\ &+ dF_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \\ &+ dF_{m+1}(O_{m},1) c_{m,1} [F_{m}(S'_{m}) - \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m})] \\ &= \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1) - da_{m} [1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1)] [c_{m-1,0} \\ &+ c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})] + dF_{m+1}(O_{m,1}) c_{m,1} [F_{m}(S'_{m}) \end{split}$$

 $-\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{m}(S'_{m})] + a_{m+1}d[c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1}\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0)],$ where $\tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})$ denotes $F_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})$ with d replaced by $(1-a_{m+1})(1-a_m)d$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{split} \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1) - F_{m+1}(O_{m},1) \\ &= da_{m}[1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1},1)][c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \\ &\times \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})] - dF_{m+1}(O_{m},1)c_{m,1}[F_{m}(S'_{m}) \\ &- \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m})] - a_{m+1}d[c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1}\tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0)]. \end{split}$$

Therefore, Lemma 3 leads to:

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},1,0) - F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},0,1) \\ &= [1 - F_{m+1}(O_m,1)] \tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1) - F_{m+1}(O_m,1)[1 \\ &- F_m(O_{m-1},1)] \\ &= da_m [1 - \tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1)] [c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \\ &\times \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})] + F_{m+1}(O_m,1)[\{F_m(O_{m-1},1) \\ &- \tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1)\} - dc_{m,1}\{F_m(S'_m) - \tilde{F}_m(S'_m)\}] \\ &- a_{m+1} d\{c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_m(S'_{m-1},0)\} . \end{split}$$
 (24)

Using (12), (24) is rewritten as

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},1,0) - F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},0,1) \\ &= da_m \big[1 - \hat{F}_m(O_{m-1},1) \big] \big[c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \\ &\times \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \big] + dF_{m+1}(O_m,1) \big[\{ c_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1},0) \\ &- c_{m,1} F_m(S'_m) \} - \{ c_{m-1,1} \hat{F}_m(S'_{m-1},0) - c_{m,1} \end{split}$$

$$\times \tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{m}(S'_{m})\}] - a_{m+1}d\{c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1}\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0)\} \{1 - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)\}.$$
 (25)

On the other hand, from (6) and (7), it follows that $c_{m,1}F_m(S'_m)$

$$= (1 - a_m) \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1}, 0) + a_m c_{m-1,0} F_m(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1)$$

$$+ a_m \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1}, 1)$$

$$= (1 - a_m) \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1}, 0) + a_m \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1}, 0)$$

$$+ a_m c_{m-1,0} F_m(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1) + a_m \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} [F_m(S'_{m-1}, 1)$$

Combining (26) with (23), we have

 $-F_m(S'_{m-1},0)$].

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{c}_{m,1} F_m(S'_m) \\ &= \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} F_m(S'_{m-1},0) + a_m c_{m-1,0} F_m(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1) \\ &- a_m \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} [1 - F_m(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1)] \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

From (27), it is immediate that

$$c_{m-1,1}F_{m}(S'_{m-1},0) - c_{m,1}F_{m}(S'_{m})$$

$$= a_{m}[1 - F_{m}(0_{m-1},1)]c_{m-1,1}\tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})$$

$$- a_{m}c_{m-1,0}F_{m}(0_{m-1},1).$$
(28)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(S'_{m-1},0) - \mathbf{c}_{m,1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(S'_{m}) \\ &= a_{m} [1 - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1)] \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \\ &- a_{m} c_{m-1,0} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Combining (28) and (29) with (25) leads to

$$\begin{split} &F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},1,0)-F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},0,1)\\ &=dD_m+d\left[1-F_{m+1}(O_m,1)\right]a_m\left[1-\tilde{F}_m(O_{m-1},1)\right]\\ &\times \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\,\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})+da_mF_{m+1}(O_m,1)\left[1\\ &-F_m(O_{m-1},1)\right]\;\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})-da_{m+1}\left[1\\ &-F_{m+1}(O_m,1)\right]\;\left[c_{m-1,0}+\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_m(S'_{m-1},0)\right], \end{split}$$

where for notational convenience D_m is defined as

$$D_{m} = a_{m} [1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1)] c_{m-1,0} - a_{m} F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m}, 1) c_{m-1,0} \times [F_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1) - \tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1)].$$
(30)

It follows from (12) and (30) that

$$\begin{split} D_{m} &= a_{m} \big[1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1}, 1) \big] \, c_{m-1,0} - a_{m} F_{m+1}(O_{m}, 1) \, c_{m-1,0} \\ &\times \big[c_{m-1,1} d \big\{ F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) - \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \big\} \\ &+ da_{m+1} \big\{ c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \big\} \big] \\ &= a_{m} \big[1 - \tilde{F}_{m}(O_{m-1}, 1) \big] \, c_{m-1,0} - a_{m} F_{m+1}(O_{m}, 1) \, c_{m-1,0} \\ &\times \big[c_{m-1,1} d \big\{ 1_{m} - \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \big\} + d\bar{a}_{m+1} \big\{ c_{m-1,0} \\ &+ c_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \big\} \big] \\ &+ a_{m} F_{m+1}(O_{m,1}) \, c_{m-1,0} dc_{m-1,1} \big[1_{m} - F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \big] \\ &= a_{m+1} c_{m-1,0} \big[1 - F_{m+1}(O_{m,1}) \big] + (a_{m} - a_{m+1}) \, c_{m-1,0} \big[1 \\ &- F_{m+1}(O_{m,1}) \big] - a_{m} c_{m-1,0} \big[F_{m+1}(O_{m-1}, 1, 0) \\ &- F_{m+1}(O_{m-1}, 0, 1) \big], \end{split}$$

where 1_m denotes the m-dimensional column vector $1_m = (1,1, \dots, 1)^T$.

By using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1,0) - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},0,1) \\ &= [1 - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)] \tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1) [(a_{m} - a_{m+1})/(1 - a_{m+1}) - da_{m} \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \{ \hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) - \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \}] \end{split}$$

$$-da_{m}[c_{m-1,0}+c_{m-1,1}\tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})] \times [F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1,0)-F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},0,1)], \tag{31}$$

where $\hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})$ denotes $F_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})$ with d replaced by $(1-a_{m+1})d$. From (31), it holds that

$$\begin{split} F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1,0) - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},0,1) \\ &= [1 - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m},1)] \tilde{F}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1) I_{m}(a_{m+1}) / [1 \\ &+ da_{m} \{ c_{m-1,0} + \mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \}], \end{split}$$
 (32)

where

$$\begin{split} I_{m}(a_{m+1}) &= (a_{m} - a_{m+1})/(1 - a_{m+1}) - a_{m} dc_{m-1,1} \\ &\times \left[\hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) - \tilde{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \right] \\ &\text{for } 0 \leq a_{m+1} \leq a_{m}. \end{split} \tag{33}$$

Define h(t) as $h(t) = t(1-a)^{t-1}d^t$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt}h(t) = (1-a)^{t-1}d^{t} + t(1-a)^{t-1}d^{t}ln[(1-a)d]$$
$$= [1+tln\{(1-a)d\}](1-a)^{t-1}d^{t}.$$

Hence, defining t^* as $t^* = -1/ln[(1-a)d]$, we have $\max_t \ [h(t)] = h(t^*)$

$$= (1-a)^{-1}t^*[(1-a)d]^{t*}$$

= $(1-a)^{-1}e^{-1}t^*$. (34)

On the other hand, the following holds: for $a \ge 0$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}[(1-a)de^{ad}] = [-1+(1-a)d]de^{ad} < 0$$

and

$$\lim_{a \to a} [(1-a) de^{ad}] = d \le 1.$$

Therefore,

$$[(1-a)de^{ad}] \leq d \leq 1,$$

and

$$-\ln[(1-a)d] \ge \ln[e^{ad}].$$

Hence.

$$-ad/ln[(1-a)d] \le 1. \tag{35}$$

Since $a^{t}-b^{t}=(a-b)(a^{t-1}+a^{t-2}b+\cdots+b^{t-1})$.

$$\begin{split} I_{m}(a_{m+1}) &= \left[(a_{m} - a_{m+1}) - (1 - a_{m+1}) a_{m} dc_{m-1,1} \{ \hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) - \hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \} \right] / (1 - a_{m+1}) \\ &= \left[(a_{m} - a_{m+1}) - (1 - a_{m+1}) a_{m} dc_{m-1,1} \{ E \{ (1 - a_{m+1})^{t} d^{t} \mid S'_{m-1} \} - E \{ (1 - a_{m})^{t} d^{t} \mid S'_{m-1} \} \right] / \\ (1 - a_{m+1}) \\ &= \left[(a_{m} - a_{m+1}) - (1 - a_{m+1}) a_{m} dc_{m-1,1} (a_{m} - a_{m+1}) \right. \\ &\times E \{ d^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} (1 - a_{m+1})^{t-l-1} (1 - a_{m})^{t} | S'_{m-1} \} \right] / \\ (1 - a_{m+1}) \end{split}$$

Using $1-a_{m+1} \ge 1-a_m$, it follows from (34) and (37) that $I_m(a_{m+1}) \ge [1-(1-a_{m+1})a_m d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \mathbf{E}\{\mathbf{t}(1-a_{m+1})^{t-1}d^t|S'_{m-1}\}](a_m-a_{m+1})/(1-a_{m+1})$ $\ge [1-(1-a_{m+1})a_m d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\{-1_m(1-a_{m+1})^{-1}e^{-1}/a_m d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\}]$

$$ln\{(1-a_{m+1})d\}\}](a_m-a_{m+1})/(1-a_{m+1})$$
= $[1-\{e^{-1}a_m/a_{m+1}\}c_{m-1,1}1_m\{-a_{m+1}d/ln\}(1-a_{m+1})]$

$$-a_{m+1}d\}\}](a_m-a_{m+1})/(1-a_{m+1}).$$
 (38)

Since $c_{m-1,0} + c_{m-1,1} \mathbf{1}_m = 1$ and $c_{m-1,1} \mathbf{1}_m < 1$, it holds from (38) and (39) that

$$I_m(a_{m+1}) \ge 0 \text{ for } a_m e^{-1} \le a_{m+1} \le a_m.$$
 (39)

On the other hand, by (33),

$$\lim_{a_{m+1}\to 0} I_m(a_{m+1}) = a_m [1 - d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \{ \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) - \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \}] \ge 0.$$
(40)

Equation (36) yields

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{m+1}}[\,(1-a_{m+1})I_m(a_{m+1})\,] = -1 + a_m d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \\ &\times [E\{(1-a_{m+1})^t d^t \mid S'_{m-1}\} - E\{(1\\ &-a_m)^t d^t \mid S'_{m-1}\}\,] + (1-a_{m+1})a_m d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} E\{t(1\\ &-a_{m+1})^{t-1} d^t \mid S'_{m-1}\} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2}a_{m+1}}[(1-a_{m+1})I_{m}(a_{m+1})] = -a_{m}d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \\ &\times \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{t}(1-a_{m+1})^{t-1}d^{t} \mid S'_{m-1}\right] - a_{m}d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1}\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{t}(1-a_{m+1})^{t-1}d^{t} \mid S'_{m-1}] - (1-a_{m+1})a_{m}d\mathbf{c}_{m-1,1} \\ &\times E\left[t(t-1)(1-a_{m+1})^{t-2}d^{t} \mid S'_{m-1}\right] \leq 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, $(1-a_{m+1})I_m(a_{m+1})$ is concave with respect to a_{m+1} . This property of $(1-a_{m+1})I_m(a_{m+1})$ being concave and relations (39) and (40) lead to

$$(1-a_{m+1})I_m(a_{m+1}) \ge 0 \text{ for } 0 \le a_{m+1} \le a_m.$$

Since $1-a_{m+1} \ge 0$, it holds that

 $F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 0, 0)$

$$I_m(a_{m+1}) \ge 0 \text{ for } 0 \le a_{m+1} \le a_m.$$

Thus, from (32),

$$F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},1,0) - F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1},0,1) \ge 0. \tag{41}$$

In particular, when $1 \ge a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_M > 0$, the strict inequality holds. The proof of Lemma 5(a) is completed.

(b) From Lemma 3, we note that

$$\begin{bmatrix}
F_{m+1}(O_{m-1}, 1, 0) \\
F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 1, 0)
\end{bmatrix} = F_{m+1}(S'_{m}, 0)$$

$$= [1 - F_{m+1}(O_{m}, 1)] \begin{bmatrix}
F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \\
F_{m}(O_{m-1}, 1) \\
F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 1)
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$+F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m}, 1) \begin{pmatrix} F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \\ F_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1) \\ F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 1) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 0, 1) \\
F_{m+1}(0_{m-1}, 1, 1) \\
F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 1, 1)
\end{pmatrix} = F_{m+1}(S'_{m}, 1)$$

$$=F_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}_{m}, 1) \begin{pmatrix} F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 0) \\ F_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1) \\ F_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 1) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{43}$$

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 0, 1) = F_{m+1}(0_m, 1)[\{1\}]$$

$$-F_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1)\} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) +F_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1)F_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})]$$
(44)

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(S'_{m-1}, 1) = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{m}(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1) \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}).$$
 (45)

Combining (42) through (45) gives us

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 1, 0) - F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 0, 1) = [\{1 - F_{m+1}(0_m, 1)\} \tilde{F}_m(0_{m-1}, 1) - F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \{1 - F_m(0_{m-1}, 1)\}] \hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) + F_{m+1}(0_m, 1) \{1 - F_m(0_{m-1}, 1)\} \{\hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) - \hat{F}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})\}.$$
(46)

By (42), (46) is rewritten as

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{F}_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 1, 0) - \boldsymbol{F}_{m+1}(S'_{m-1}, 0, 1) \\ & = [\boldsymbol{F}_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{O}_{m-1}, 1, 0) - \boldsymbol{F}_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{O}_{m}, 1)] \boldsymbol{\hat{F}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \\ & + \boldsymbol{F}_{m+1}(\boldsymbol{O}_{m}, 1)[1 - \boldsymbol{F}_{m}(\boldsymbol{O}_{m-1}, 1)][\boldsymbol{\hat{F}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1}) \\ & - \boldsymbol{\tilde{F}}_{m-1}(S'_{m-1})]. \end{split}$$

Noting that $\hat{F}_{m-1}(i_{m-1}) - \tilde{F}_{m-1}(i_{m-1}) \ge 0$ for all $i_{m-1} \in S'_{m-1}$ because $(1-a_{m+1})d \ge (1-a_m)d$, we see from (41) and (47) that

$$F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 1, 0) \ge F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 0, 1)$$
 for all $i_{m-1} \varepsilon S'_{m-1}$.

In particular, when $1 \ge a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_M > 0$, the strict inequality holds. Thus the proof of Lemma 5 is completed.

Theorem 1. For any d(0 < d < 1), F is monotone. In particular, if $1 \ge a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_M > 0$, then F is strictly monotone for any d(0 < d < 1).

Proof. Suppose that $F_m(S'_m)$ is monotone for any d (0 < d < 1). We note that

$$F_{m+1}(S'_{m+1}) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0,0) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m},0) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m},1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1},0,0) \\ F_{m+1}(O_{m-1},1,0) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1},0,1) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1},0,1) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1},1,1) \\ F_{m+1}(S'_{m-1},1,1) \end{pmatrix}$$

For i_{m-1} such that $i_t=1$ and $i_k=0$ for $k\neq l$, the states $(0_{m-1},\ 1,\ 0)$ and $(i_{m-1},\ 0,0,)$ $\varepsilon(S'_m,\ 0)$ satisfy the relation

$$(O_{m-1}, 0, 1) < (O_{m-1}, 1, 0,) < (i_{m-1}, 0, 0).$$
 (48)
By (48) and Lemmas 4 and 5(a), we obtain for such i_{m-1} , $F_{m+1}(O_{m-1}, 0, 1) \le F_{m+1}(O_{m-1}, 1, 0)$

$$\leq F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 0, 0).$$
 (49)

For i_{m-1} and $i'_{m-1} \in S'_{m-1}$ such that $i_l = 1$, $i_n = 0$, $i'_l = 1$, $i'_n = 1$ and $i_k = i'_k = 0$ for

 $k \neq l$, n, the states $(i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$ and $(i'_{m-1}, 0, 0) \varepsilon(S'_m, 0)$ satisfy the following relation:

$$(\mathbf{0}_{m-1}, 1, 1) < (i_{m-1}, 0, 1) < (i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$$

= $(i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}, 0, i_{m+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$

$$\langle (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1, i_{n+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 0, 0) \rangle$$

= $(i'_{m-1}, 0, 0)$ (50)

Lemmas 4 and 5 and (50) give us for such i_{m-1} and i'_{m-1} ,

$$F_{m+1}(0_{m-1}, 1, 1) \le F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$$

$$\le F_{m+1}(i'_{m-1}, 0, 0).$$
(51)

For i_{m-1} and $i'_{m-1} \in S'_{m-1}$ such that $i_t = 0$, $i'_t = 1$ and $i_k = i'_k$ for $k \neq l$, the states $(i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$ and $(i'_{m-1}, 0, 0)$ satisfy the following partial order:

$$(i_{m-1}, 0, 1) < (i_{m-1}, 1, 0) = (i_1, \dots, i_{l-1}, 0, i_{l+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 1, 0) < (i_1, \dots, i_{l-1}, 1, i_{l+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 0, 0)$$

$$= (i'_{m-1}, 0, 0).$$
(52)

Combining Lemmas 4 and 5 with (52), we have for such i_{m-1} and $i'_{m-1} \varepsilon S'_{m-1}$,

$$F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 0, 1) \le F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 1, 0)$$

$$\le F_{m+1}(i'_{m-1}, 0, 0).$$
(53)

For i_{m-1} and $i'_{m-1} \varepsilon S'_{m-1}$ such that $i_l = 0, i'_l = 1$ and $i_k = i'_k$ for $k \neq l$, the state $(i'_{m-1}, 1, 0) \varepsilon (S'_m, 0)$ satisfies the relation

$$(i_{m-1}, 1, 1) = (i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}, 0, i_{t+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 1, 1) < (i_1, \dots, i_{t-1}, 1, i_{t+1}, \dots, i_{m-1}, 0, 1) = (i'_{m-1}, 0, 1) < (i'_{m-1}, 1, 0).$$
 In a way similar to (53), we have for such i_{m-1} and $i'_{m-1} \in S'_{m-1}$,

$$F_{m+1}(i_{m-1}, 1, 1) \leq F_{m+1}(i'_{m-1}, 0, 1)$$

$$\leq F_{m+1}(i'_{m-1}, 1, 0). \tag{54}$$

From (49), (51), (53), (54) and Lemma 4, we see that if F_m (S'_m) is monotone for any d(0 < d < 1), then F_{m+1} (S'_{m+1}) is also monotone for any d(0 < d < 1). Therefore, since by (3), $F_2(S'_2)$ is monotone, it follows by induction on m that $F_m(S'_m)$ is monotone for any d(0 < d < 1). In particular, when $1 \ge a_1 > \dots > a_m > 0$, $F_m(S'_m)$ is strictly monotone for any d(0 < d < 1) because from Lemmas 4 and 5 strict inequalities in (49), (51), (53) and (54) hold. Thus the proof is completed.

П

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we deal with a single-server priority queuing system consisting of M terminals with single buffers. It is proved that the initial busy period has the monotonicity under the service rule on the priority basis, the first terminal with a_1 having the highest priority, \cdots , and the M-th terminal with a_M

having the lowest priority, where $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_M$. The monotonicity leads to the optimality of the service rule mentioned above. It should be noted that this service rule is optimal among dynamic probabilistic policies depending on the entire history⁹.

Acknowledgements

The first author was partially supported by Institute for Interdisciplinary Studies, Konan University.

References

- K. Ohno and T. Shioyama, "Optimal service rule of a single server queuing system," to be published in Belgian Journal of Operations Research, Statistics and Computer Science, vol. 27, no. 1, 1987.
- M. Gerla and L. Kleinrock, "Flow control: A comparative survey," IEEE Trans. Comm., vol. COM-28, pp. 553-574, 1980.
- L. Kleinrock and M. O. Scholl, "Packet switching in radio channels: New conflict-free multiple access scheme," IEEE Trans. Comm., vol. COM -28, pp. 1015-1029, 1980.
- A. Itai, "A golden ratio control policy for communication channels," Proceedings of the International Workshop of Mathematical Computer Performance and Reliability, Pisa, Italy, pp. 387-401, 1984.
- 5) M. Eisenberg, "Queues with periodic service and chageover time," Opns, Res., vol. 20, pp. 440-451, 1972.
- 6) A. G. Konheim and B. Meister, "Waiting lines and times in a system with polling," J. Ass. Comput. Mach. vol. 21, pp. 470-490, 1974.
- J. M. Harrison, "Dynamic scheduling of a multi-class queue: discount optimality," Opns. Res., vol. 23, pp. 270-282, 1975.
- 8) D. Wan Tcha and S. R. Pliska, "Optimal control of single server queuing networks and multi-class M/G/1 queues with feedback," Opns. Res., vol. 25, pp. 248-258, 1977.
- 9) C. Derman, Finite state Markovian decision process. New York, Academic Press, 1970.