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We consider the order of entire solutions of the differential equation
（1）

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(z, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)=\mathrm{Q}(z, \mathrm{w})
$$

where $P$ is a polynomial in $w, w^{\prime}, \cdots, w^{(n)}$ with polynomial coefficients such that the degree of each term of $P$ is not smaller than one and

$$
Q(z, w)=a_{0} w^{d}+a_{1} w^{d-1}+\cdots+a_{d}
$$

Here，$d=\operatorname{deg} P, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{d}$ are polynomials at least one of which is not identically equal to zero and $a_{0}$ is a transcendental entire function．

This equation（1）contains several popular equations．

## 1．Introduction

Let P be a polynomial of $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{n} \geq 1)$ with polynomial coefficients：

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(z, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in 1} \mathrm{c}_{\lambda}(z) \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{i}_{0}}\left(\mathrm{w}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \ldots\left(\mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}}
$$

where each $c_{\lambda}$ is polynomial and $I$ is a finite set of multi－indices $\lambda=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)$ for which $c_{\lambda} \neq 0$ and $i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}$ are non－negative integers such that at least one of $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}$ is not equal to zero，

$$
\mathrm{d}=\max _{\lambda \in \mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{0}+\mathrm{i}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

and let

$$
Q(z, w)=\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} a_{\ell-j}(z) w^{j}
$$

where $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\ell}$ are polynomials at least one of which is not identically equal to zero and $a_{0}$ is a transcendental entire function．
We consider entire solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(z, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)=\mathrm{Q}(z, \mathrm{w}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that if the differential equation（1）admits an admissible entire solution，then $\ell \leq \mathrm{d}$ ．
From now on，we consider the differential equation（1）satisfying $\ell=\mathrm{d}$ ．
Examples of（1）．
（a）$-2 \mathrm{EE}^{\prime \prime}+\left(\mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4 \mathrm{AE}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}(\mathrm{c} \neq 0$ ，constant）$)($ see $[1])$ ．
（b） $\mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\mathrm{c}_{1}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{w}^{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{l})}+\cdots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{w}^{\prime}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{z}) \quad(\mathrm{F} \neq 0)$（see［5］）．
（c）$\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{n}=a_{0}(z) w^{n}+\cdots+a_{n-1}(z) w+a_{n}(z) \quad($ see［4］），etc．
For an entire function f ，we denote the order of f by $\rho(\mathrm{f})$ and the lower order of f by $\mu(\mathrm{f})$ ，the order of $N(r, 1 / f)$ by $\lambda(f)$ and the order of $\bar{N}(r, 1 / f)$ by $\bar{\lambda}(f)$ respectively．

Recent results on the growth of solutions of（b）in which we are interested are the followings：
Theorem A（［11］，Theorem 1）．Suppose in（b）that $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{F}$ are entire such that $\rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and $\rho$（F）are finite and that（i）or（ii）holds

[^0](i) $\rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)(\mathrm{j}=1, \cdots, \mathrm{n}-1)$;
(ii) $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \cdots, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ are polynomials and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is transcendental.

Then, every solution f of (b) satisfies $\rho(\mathrm{f})=\bar{\lambda}(\mathrm{f})=\lambda(\mathrm{f})=+\infty$ with at most one possible exceptional solution of finite order.

In [11] examples with an "exceptional solution" are given, but those without "exceptional solution" are not given. An equation of (b) without "exceptional solution" is given in [2]:

Theorem B ([2], Theorem 3). Suppose in (b) that $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ and $F$ are entire such that

$$
\max \left\{\rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right), \cdots, \rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right), \rho(\mathrm{F})\right\}<\rho\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)<1 / 2 .
$$

Then, every solution of (b) has infinite order.
The purpose of this paper is to give a result on the order of entire solutions of the differential equation (1) similar to Theorem 3 in [9]. We use the standard notation of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions ([3],[6]).

## 2. Lemma.

Lemma 1. Let f be an entire function of finite order. Then, for any positive number $\varepsilon$ there exist $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}(\varepsilon)$, $r_{0}>1$ and $J(r)$ a subset of $[0,2 \pi)$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\sum_{i_{0}+i_{1}+\cdots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{i_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n}} / f^{k}\right)\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq r^{q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \geq r_{o}$ and $\theta \notin J(r)$, where the angular measure of $J(r), m(J(r)) \leq \varepsilon r$. (cf. Lemma 1 in [7])
Proof (see [7], Lemma 1). By the lemma of the logarithmic derivative and by the fact that $f$ is of finite order and every $c_{\lambda}$ is polynomial, there exist a constant $K$ and an $r_{0}>1$ such that for all $r \geq r_{0}$

$$
m\left(r, \sum_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n}} / f^{k}\right) \leq K \log r
$$

For any positive number $\varepsilon$, let $\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{~K} / \varepsilon$ and

$$
J(r)=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi):\left.\right|_{i_{0}+\ldots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}} \ldots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n}} / f^{k}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \mid>r^{q}\right\}
$$

Then, $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{r})) \leq \varepsilon \pi$. For $\mathrm{r} \geq \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\theta \notin \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{r})$, the inequality (2) holds.
Lemma 2. Let $f$ be a transcendental entire function, $R(\neq 0)$ a polynomial and set

$$
G_{o}=\{z:|f(z)|>1\}
$$

Then, for any positive number $\varepsilon$, there exist $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}(\varepsilon), \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}>1$ and $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{r})$ a subset of $[0,2 \pi), \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{r})) \leq \varepsilon \mathrm{r}$ such that the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1}{R}\left\{\left(P\left(z, f, f^{\prime}, \cdots, f^{(n)}\right)-\left(Q(z, f)-a_{0} f^{d}\right)\right) / f^{d}\right\} \quad\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| \leq r^{q}
$$

holds for all $z=r e^{i \theta} \in G_{o}, r \geq r_{0}$ and $\theta \notin J(r)$.
Proof. For any $z=r e^{i \theta} \in G_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{1}{R}\left\{\frac{P\left(z, f, f^{\prime}, \cdots, f^{(n)}\right)-Q(z, f)+a_{0} f^{d}}{f^{d}}\right\}(z)\right|  \tag{3}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{|R(z)|}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left|\sum_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n}} / f^{k}(z)\right|+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\left|a_{j}(z)\right|\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and we have a constant $K$ and an $r_{o}>1$ such that for $r \geq r_{o}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log +\frac{1}{|R|}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{d}\left|\sum_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{i_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n}} / f^{k}\right|+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\left|a_{j}\right|\right\}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \\
& \leq m(r, 1 / R)+\sum_{k=1}^{d} m\left(r,{ }_{i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=k} c_{\lambda} f^{i_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(f^{(n)}\right)^{i_{n} / f^{k}}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} m\left(r, a_{j}\right)+O(1) \leq K \log r
\end{aligned}
$$

as in the proof of Lemma 1．For any positive number $\varepsilon$ ，let $\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{~K} / \varepsilon$ and

Then，

$$
(2 \pi)^{-1} m(J(r)) q \log r \leq K \log r
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{r})) \leq \varepsilon \mathrm{r} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From（3），（4）and（5），we obtain our lemma．
Lemma 3．Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function．Then，there exists a polygonal path $\Gamma: z=z(t)$ （ $0 \leq \mathrm{t}<1$ ）such that
（i） $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} z(t)=\infty$ ；
（ii） $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \log |f(z(t))| / \log |z(t)|=+\infty$ ．（［8］）
Let $D$ be an unbounded plane domain the boundary of which consists of at most countable analytic curves clus－ tering nowhere in $|z|<\infty$ ．We put

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r})=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi): \mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \in \mathrm{D}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\theta(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}+\infty & \text { if }\{|z|=\mathrm{r}\} \subset \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r})) & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Let a be a positive number such that $\theta(r)>0$ for all $r \geq a$ ．Then，we have the following：
Lemma 4．Let $v(z)$ be a subharmonic function in $D$ ，continuous on the closure of $D$ such that $v(z) \leq 0$ ． If there exists one point $z_{o} \in D$ such that $v\left(z_{0}\right)>0$ ，then

$$
\log M(r, v, D) \geq \pi \int_{a}^{r / 2} \frac{d t}{t \theta(t)}+O(1)
$$

where $M(r, v, D)=\sup \{v(z):(|z|=r) \cap D\}$ ．
See the proof of Theorem III， 68 in［10］，p．117．We can apply the method used there for $\log ^{+}|f(z)|$ to our subharmonic function $v(z)$ and easily obtain this lemma．

Lemma 5．Let $\mathrm{A}(z)$ be a transcendental entire function with $\mu(\mathrm{A})<+\infty$ ．Suppose that for some constant $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ the set

$$
\left\{z:|\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{z})|>\mathrm{K}_{1}\right\}
$$

consists of at least $N$ components $G_{1}, \cdots, G_{N}$ ，where $N \geq 2$ ．Then，there exist a harmonic function $v_{j}(z)$ in $G_{j}$ and an unbounded domain $D_{j} \subset G_{j}(j=1, \cdots, N)$ such that
（i） $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{z}) \geq|z|^{(\rho(\mathrm{A}) /(2 \rho(\mathrm{~A})+1-\mathrm{N})\}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{z})}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{z}) \rightarrow 0\right.$ as $\left.z \rightarrow \infty\right)$ ；
（ii） $\log |A(z)|-v_{j}(z)\left\{\begin{array}{lll}>0 & \text { in } & D_{j} \\ =0 & \text { on } & \partial D_{j}\end{array}\right.$
Proof．For $j=1, \cdots, N$ ，let $u_{j}(z), \delta$ and $\Gamma_{j}$ be those given in the proof of Theorem 1 in［9］，（We here use $G_{j}$ in stead of $D_{j}$ there．）For $j=1, \cdots, N$ ，put $v_{j}(z)=\delta u_{j}(z)+\log K_{1}$ ，which is positive in $G_{j}$ ，and let $D_{j}$ be the un－ bounded component of $\left\{z \in G_{j}: \log |A(z)|-v_{j}(z)>0\right\}$ containing $\Gamma_{j}$ ．Then，it is easy to see from the proof of Theorem 1 in［9］that $v_{j}$ satisfies（i）and（ii）of this lemma．
（We consider $\rho(\mathrm{A}) /(2 \rho(\mathrm{~A})+1-\mathrm{N})=1 / 2$ if $\rho(\mathrm{A})=+\infty$ ．））
Lemma 6．Let f be any entire solution of the differential equation（1）．Then f is transcendental and $\rho(\mathrm{f}) \geq \rho\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)$ ．

Proof．From（1）we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{P\left(z, f, f^{\prime}, \cdots, f^{(n)}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} a_{i} f^{j}}{f^{d}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that f is polynomial. Then the right-hand side of (1) is not transcendental, but $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ is transcendental. This is a contradiction. f must be transcendental.

Next, Suppose that $\rho(\mathrm{f})<+\infty$. We then have from (6)

$$
\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}_{0}\right) \leq \mathrm{dm}(\mathrm{r}, 1 / \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r}) \leq \mathrm{dT}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{r})
$$

which implies $\rho\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right) \leq \rho(\mathrm{f})$.

## 3. Result.

We suppose that the differential equation (1) admits at least one entire solution. Let $\mathbf{f}$ be any entire solution of (1). Let $D_{0}$ be a component of the set

$$
\{z:|f(z)|>1\}
$$

which is a non-empty unbounded domain since f is transcendental by Lemma 6. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{r})=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi): \mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \in \mathrm{D}_{0}\right\}, \\
& \theta_{0}(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}+\infty & \text { if }\{|z|=r\} \subset D_{0} \\
\mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{r})\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\ell_{0}(r)=m\left(E_{0}(r)\right)= \begin{cases}2 \pi & \text { if } \theta_{0}(r)=+\infty \\ \theta_{0}(r) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Applying the method used in the proof of Theorem 3 in [9], we shall give a theorem on the order of $f$ in this section.

Theorem. Suppose in (1) that $\mathrm{a}_{0}(\mathrm{z})=\alpha(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{z})+\beta(\mathrm{z})$, where A is transcendental, $\rho(\mathrm{A})<+\infty$ and $\alpha(\neq 0), \beta$ are polynomials. If for some constant $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ the set

$$
\left\{z:|A(z)|>K_{1}\right\}
$$

consists of at least N components ( $1 \leq \mathrm{N}<+\infty$ ), then either $\rho(\mathrm{f})=+\infty$ or

$$
\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mu(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2 \quad\left(\text { resp. } \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\rho(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\rho(\mathrm{f})<+\infty$. By Lemma 2, for any positive $\varepsilon$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(z, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{f}^{\prime}, \cdots, \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)}{\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{d}}}-\frac{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{f})-\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{d}}}\right\}(\mathrm{z})\right| \leq \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{q}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}(\varepsilon)>0, \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \in \mathrm{G}_{0}, \mathrm{r} \geq \mathrm{r}_{0}>1$ except for $\theta \in \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{r})$ satisfying $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})) \leq \varepsilon \mathrm{r}$.
For $K=\max \left\{K_{1}, 1, M(1, A)\right\}$ and a positive integer $p>q$, the set

$$
\{z: \log |\mathrm{A}(z)|-\mathrm{p} \log |z|-\log \mathrm{K}>0,|z|>1\}
$$

consists of at least $N$ unbounded components $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{N}$ by Lemma 3 in case of $N=1$ or by Lemma 5 in case of $\mathrm{N} \geq 2$. For $\mathrm{j}=1, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$ put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=\left\{\theta \in[0,2 \pi): \mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}, \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}+\infty & \text { if }\{|z|=\mathrm{r}\} \subset \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
\mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\ell_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=m\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})\right)= \begin{cases}2 \pi & \text { if } \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=+\infty \\ \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r}) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, there is a positive number a such that $\theta_{j}(r)>0$ for all $r \geq a$ and for $j=0,1, \cdots, N$. By Lemma 4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{r} / 2} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \theta_{0}(\mathrm{t})} \leq \log \log \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(1) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi \int_{a}^{r / 2} \frac{d t}{t \theta_{j}(t)} & \leq \log \{\log M(r, A)-p \log r-\log K\}+O(1)  \tag{9}\\
& \leq \log \log M(r, A)+O(1) \quad(j=1, \cdots, N)
\end{align*}
$$

since $\log |A(z)|-p \log |z|-\log K$ is positive harmonic and $p \log |z|+\log K$ is positive in $D_{j}$ by the choice of $K$ ． Further we have from（7）and by the choice of $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N} \ell_{j}(r) \leq(2+\varepsilon) \pi \quad\left(r \geq b=\max \left\{r_{0}, a\right\}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From（10）we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N} \int_{b}^{r} \frac{\ell_{j}(t)}{t} d t \leq(2+\varepsilon) \pi \log (r / b) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Cauchy－Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\ell_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \ell_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})} \geq\left(\int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}=\left(\log \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{~b}}\right)^{2} \quad(\mathrm{j}=0, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From（11）and（12）we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{\log (r / b)}{\pi \int_{b}^{r} \frac{d t}{t \ell_{j}(t)}} \leq 2+\varepsilon \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left\{\mathrm{r}: \theta_{0}(\mathrm{r})=+\infty\right\}
$$

Then，$B_{o}$ is a sum of intervals．Let

$$
\chi_{0}(r)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } r \text { belongs to } B_{0} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $r$ belongs to $B_{o}$ and $r \geq b$ ，we have

$$
\theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=\ell_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad(\mathrm{j}=1, \cdots, \mathrm{~N})
$$

and

$$
\theta_{1}(\mathrm{r})+\cdots+\theta_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{r}) \leq \varepsilon \pi
$$

from（10）．Thus，if we set

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=\left\{\mathrm{r}: \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r}) \leq \varepsilon \pi\right\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{o} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} F_{j} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathrm{r} \text { belongs to } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We then have from（14）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\chi_{0}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \sum_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \mathrm{N} \varepsilon \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{O}(1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\varepsilon^{-1} \phi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \pi / \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})$ and

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})} \leq \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{O}(1)
$$

by（9）．Further we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \theta_{0}(\mathrm{t})}=\pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \ell_{0}(\mathrm{t})}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\chi_{0}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(I) The case when $N=1$. Let $B_{1}=\left\{r: \theta_{1}(r)=+\infty\right\}$. Then, $B_{1}$ is a sum of intervals. Define

$$
\chi_{1}(r)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } r \in B_{1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{B}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{r} \geq \mathrm{b}$, then, by (10) $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{r}) \leq \varepsilon \pi$. Put

$$
\mathrm{F}_{0}=\left\{\mathrm{r}: \theta_{0}(\mathrm{r}) \leq \varepsilon \pi\right\}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{0}(\mathrm{r})= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{~F}_{0} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\chi_{1}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\psi_{0}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \varepsilon \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(1) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\mathrm{B}_{1} \subset \mathrm{~F}_{0}, \varepsilon^{-1} \phi_{0}(\mathrm{t}) \leq \pi / \theta_{0}(\mathrm{t})$ and

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\psi_{0}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \leq \pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \theta_{0}(\mathrm{t})} \leq \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(1)
$$

by (8). Since

$$
\pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \theta_{1}(\mathrm{t})}=\pi \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{t} \ell_{1}(\mathrm{t})}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\chi_{1}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt}
$$

from (8), (9), (13) and (15) for $N=1,(16)$ and (17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\log (\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{b})}{\log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\varepsilon \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{O}(1)} \\
& \quad+\frac{\log (\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{b})}{\log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+(\varepsilon / 2) \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{O}(1)} \leq 2+\varepsilon \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence tending to $+\infty$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M\left(2 r_{n}, A\right)}{\log r_{n}}=\mu(A) \quad\left(\text { resp. } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M\left(2 r_{n}, f\right)}{\log r_{n}}=\mu(f)\right)
$$

Put $r=r_{n}$ in (18) and let $n$ tend to $\infty$. We then have

$$
\frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{N} \varepsilon \mu(\mathrm{~A})} \leq 2+\varepsilon \quad\left(\text { resp. } \frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{N} \varepsilon \rho(\mathrm{~A})} \leq 2+\varepsilon\right)
$$

Tending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2  \tag{19}\\
& \left.\frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(resp. (19) ${ }^{\prime}$
(II) The case when $\mathrm{N} \geq 2$. In this case it is clear that for $\mathrm{j}=1, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$

$$
0<\theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})<2 \pi \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r})=\ell_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{r}) \quad(\mathrm{r} \geq \mathrm{b})
$$

From (8),(9),(13),(15) and (16) we obtain for $r \geq b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N} \log (\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{b})}{\log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{O}(1)}+\frac{\log (\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{b})}{\log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+(\mathrm{N} \varepsilon / 2) \log \log \mathrm{M}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{~A})+\mathrm{O}(1)} \leq 2+\varepsilon \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then as in the case of $\mathrm{N}=1$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mu(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\rho(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2 \quad\left(\text { resp. } \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\rho(\mathrm{~A})}+\frac{1}{\mu(\mathrm{f})} \leq 2\right)
$$

from (20).

Corollary．Under the same assumption as in Theorem，if

$$
\mu(\mathrm{A}) \leq 1 / 2 \quad \text { or } \mu(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{N} / 2 \quad \text { or } \rho(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{N} / 2(\mathrm{~N}=2,3, \cdots),
$$

then $\rho(\mathrm{f})=+\infty$ ．
Example 1．For a polynomial $p(z)$ of degree 1，put $f(z)=e^{p(z)}$ ．Then，$p_{j}=f^{(j)}(z) / f(z)=\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{j}$ $(j=1,2, \cdots)$ are constants．For any polynomials $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ and $F(\neq 0)$ ，we set

$$
\mathrm{q}(z)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}(z) \text { and } \mathrm{a}_{0}(z)=\mathrm{F}(z) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{p}(z)}-\mathrm{q}(z)
$$

Then，$f(z)=e^{p(z)}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$
\mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{f}^{\prime}+\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{F} .
$$

It is easy to see that for some sufficiently large $K$ the sets

$$
\left\{z:\left|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}(z)}\right|>\mathrm{K}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{z:\left|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{p}(z)}\right|>\mathrm{K}\right\}
$$

have one unbounded component．Further $\rho\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)=\mu\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)=\rho\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)=1$ ．This shows that $1 / \mu\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)+1 / \rho(\mathrm{f})=2$ ．
Example 2．The function $A(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\exp \left(z^{m / 2}\right)+\exp \left(z^{-m / 2}\right)\right\}(m=2,3, \cdots)$ is of order $\rho(A)=m / 2$ and $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{m}$（see［9］，example 1）．For this $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{z})$ ，any entire solution of（1）under the assumption of Theorem is of order $+\infty$ ．

Remark．By a well－known theorem of Ahlfore（see［10］，p．236），

$$
\mathrm{N}=1 \text { when } \mu(\mathrm{A})<1 \text { and } \mathrm{N} \leq 2 \mu(\mathrm{~A}) \text { when } 1 \leq \mu(\mathrm{A})<+\infty
$$

for any non－constant entire function $A$ ．
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